# Crystallographic Studies of the Role of Mg as a Stabilizing Impurity in $\beta-\mathrm{Ca}_{3}\left(\mathrm{PO}_{4}\right)_{2}$ 

# II. Refinement of $\mathbf{M g}$-Containing $\boldsymbol{\beta}-\mathrm{Ca}_{3}\left(\mathrm{PO}_{4}\right)_{2}{ }^{*}$ 

L. W. SCHROEDER $\dagger$, B. DICKENS, AND W. E. BROWN $\ddagger$<br>American Dental Association Health Foundation Research Unit, National Bureau of Standards, Washington, D.C. 20234

Received April 13, 1977


#### Abstract

The crystal structures of five samples of Mg -containing $\beta$-tricalcium orthophosphate, $\beta$ - $\mathrm{Ca}_{3}\left(\mathrm{PO}_{4}\right)_{2}$, have been refined and the Mg -impurity distribution has been examined. Typically, $\sim 7500$ reflections were measured and merged into a unique set of $\sim 2500$. Least-squares refinements with anisotropic temperature factors (and third cumulants for some atoms) produced weighted residuals, $R_{w}(F)$, in the region of 0.02 to 0.04 . Three of the five cation sites are always completely filled with calcium ions, a fourth site with Ca and Mg ions, and the fifth site contains $\mathrm{Ca}, \mathrm{Mg}$, and vacancies. Local order may exist because the sizes of the coordinations about the two Mg-containing cation sites are directly related by the orientation of phosphate group $\mathrm{P}(2) \mathrm{O}_{4}$ such that a small ion in one site favors the incorporation of a small ion in the other site.


## Introduction

The background for the present investigation was given in our earlier paper on pure $\beta$ - $\mathrm{Ca}_{3}\left(\mathrm{PO}_{4}\right)_{2}$ (1). Since then, the crystal structures of synthetic Mg -whitlockite, $\mathrm{Ca}_{18} \mathrm{Mg}_{2} \mathrm{H}_{2}\left(\mathrm{PO}_{4}\right)_{14}$ (2), and of the mineral whitlockite, $\quad \mathrm{Ca}_{18.19} \mathrm{Mg}_{1.17} \mathrm{Fe}_{0.83} \mathrm{H}_{1.62}\left(\mathrm{PO}_{4}\right)_{14}$ (3), from the Palermo quarry have been reported. Thus the relationship between whitlockite and synthetic $\beta$-Ca $\mathrm{Ca}_{3}\left(\mathrm{PO}_{4}\right)_{2}$ has been clarified. $\beta$ - $\mathrm{Ca}_{3}\left(\mathrm{PO}_{4}\right)_{2}$ is known to be stabilized by the presence of small amounts of Mg ; this is amply corroborated by the fact that the

[^0]samples of $\beta-\mathrm{Ca}_{3}\left(\mathrm{PO}_{4}\right)_{2}$ used in this work were prepared in the stability range of pure $\beta$ $\mathrm{Ca}_{3}\left(\mathrm{PO}_{4}\right)_{2}(4)$.

Some insight into the stabilization of the $\beta$ $\mathrm{Ca}_{3}\left(\mathrm{PO}_{4}\right)_{2}$ structure is given by the relationship between the $\mathrm{Ba}_{3}\left(\mathrm{VO}_{4}\right)_{2}$ and $\beta$ - $\mathrm{Ca}_{3}\left(\mathrm{PO}_{4}\right)_{2}$ structures. As mentioned in reference (1), in the unit cell of the $\beta-\mathrm{Ca}_{3}\left(\mathrm{PO}_{4}\right)_{2}$ structure both $a$ and $c$ are doubled relative to the $\mathrm{Ba}_{3}\left(\mathrm{VO}_{4}\right)_{2}$ cell. An isostructural relationship would yield 24 Ca ions and $16 \mathrm{PO}_{4}$ groups, allowing for the factor of 4 in cell volumes. The $\beta$ $\mathrm{Ca}_{3}\left(\mathrm{PO}_{4}\right)_{2}$ structure actually has 21 cations and $14 \mathrm{PO}_{4}$ groups in the rhombohedral unit cell which is 3 cations and $2 \mathrm{PO}_{4}$ groups less than in the $\mathrm{Ba}_{3}\left(\mathrm{VO}_{4}\right)_{2}$ structure. However, smaller cation coordination polyhedra are now evident (see Fig. 1) and, in particular cation site $M(5)$ is nearly octahedral. Cation sites $\mathrm{M}(4)$ and $\mathrm{M}(5)$ are new sites relative to the $\mathrm{Ba}_{3}\left(\mathrm{VO}_{4}\right)_{2}$ structure.

There are 22 cation sites per cell (spacegroup R3c) but, as our previous results (1) have shown, two cation sites (of type M(4)) are only


Fig. 1. The $\beta-\mathrm{Ca}_{3}\left(\mathrm{PO}_{4}\right)_{2}$ structure from sample (III). Only half of the cell is shown along $c$ and two-thirds perpendicular to the $a c$ plane. The origin of the coordinate system is marked by *.
half filled because of charge balance requirements. Meteoritic whitlockite ("merrilite") (6) appears to have a formula near $\mathrm{Ca}_{18}(\mathrm{Mg}$, $\mathrm{Fe})_{2} \mathrm{Na}_{2}\left(\mathrm{PO}_{4}\right)_{14}$. These meteorites could have the $\beta$ - $\mathrm{Ca}_{3}\left(\mathrm{PO}_{4}\right)_{2}$ structure with all cation sites filled and still be electroneutral.

The environment of site $M(4)$ is shown in Fig. 2. This cation site is on the threefold axis
and has an unusual coordination to the $O(9)$, $\mathrm{O}\left(9^{\prime}\right), \mathrm{O}\left(9^{\prime \prime}\right)$ face of the $\mathrm{P}(1) \mathrm{O}_{4}$ group. Electrostatic repulsion between the cation and the phosphorus atom is expected to be significant and long $\mathrm{Ca}(4) \cdots \mathrm{O}(9)$ distances are observed in pure $\beta-\mathrm{Ca}_{3}\left(\mathrm{PO}_{4}\right)_{2}$. The $\mathrm{M}(4)$ coordination is completed by three $O(1)$ atoms from surrounding $\mathrm{P}(2) \mathrm{O}_{4}$ groups.


Fig. 2. The environment of cation site $\mathrm{M}(4)$ (labeled $\mathrm{Ca4}$ ) and the $\mathrm{P}(1) \mathrm{O}_{4}$ group. Here the $\mathrm{M}(4)$ site is labeled $\mathrm{Ca}(4)$.


Fig. 3. The approximately octahedral cation site $\mathrm{M}(5)$ (labeled Ca5). This site is located on the trigonal axis.

Figure 3 shows the environment of site $\mathrm{M}(5)$, which is also located on the threefold axis. The coordination is essentially octahedral with no shared $\mathrm{PO}_{4}$ edges, which allows the $\mathrm{M}(5) \cdots$ oxygen distances to be small and uniform, and favors the incorporation of small impurity ions requiring a coordination number of 6 .

We have synthesized five samples of $\beta$ $\mathrm{Ca}_{3}\left(\mathrm{PO}_{4}\right)_{2}$ containing amounts of Mg ranging from 4 to $14 \%$ of the cations and refined their
crystal structures in order to elucidate the manner by which Mg stabilized $\beta$ - $\mathrm{Ca}_{3}\left(\mathrm{PO}_{4}\right)_{2}$. This paper gives details of the structures of three of these samples. The two others seemed to contain Fe as an additional impurity.

## Experimental

We made our samples from a mortarground slurry in alcohol of $\mathrm{CaCO}_{3}$ dried at $100^{\circ} \mathrm{C}, \mathrm{MgO}$ (allowing for $2 \%$ weight loss on

TABLEI
Sample Preparation and Composition of $\mathrm{Ca}_{3-x} \mathrm{Mg}_{x}\left(\mathrm{PO}_{4}\right)_{2}$

| Crystal I.D. | 0 | I, II | III $^{b}$ |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Value of $x$ in formula | 0.00 | 0.11 | 0.29 |
| Atom $\%, \mathrm{Mg}$ | 0.00 | 4.8 | 11.6 |
| $\mathrm{CaHPO}_{4} \cdot 2 \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}(\mathrm{g})^{a}$ | 1.9998 | 7.0010 | 10.0000 |
| $\mathrm{CaCO}_{3}(\mathrm{~g})$ | 0.5820 | 1.7915 | 2.5750 |
| $\mathrm{MgO}(\mathrm{g})$ | 0.0000 | 0.1001 | 0.4530 |
| Heating temp $\left({ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}\right)$ | 1400 | 1350 | 1350 |
| Heating time $(\mathrm{hr})$ | $24^{c}$ | 24 | 5 |
| Microprobe results, wt\% ${ }^{\boldsymbol{d}}$ |  |  |  |
| Ca |  | $37.2^{f}$ | 35.0 |
| Mg |  | 0.8 | 2.3 |
| P |  | 20.1 | 20.0 |
| Sum |  | 99.7 | 98.3 |

[^1]heating) and $\mathrm{CaHPO}_{4}$ (prepared by heating $\mathrm{CaHPO}_{4} \cdot 2 \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ for two days at $80^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ ). The slurry was dried, heated to $1000^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 5 hr , reground, pelletized with cornstarch, a few drops of water, and sometimes cristobalite (to act as a flux), and then heated in platinum foil. Details for individual cases (I-III) are given in Table I.

The crystals were made in a solid state reaction which included the evolution of $\mathrm{CO}_{2}$. Thus they contain many pores, and great care had to be taken to prepare a flat area on which to perform microprobe analyses. Typically, three to four points were taken on each crystal and three crystals were taken from each preparation. Each individual crystal appeared to be homogeneous. Single crystals of $\mathrm{Mg}_{3}\left(\mathrm{PO}_{4}\right)_{2}$ and $\alpha-\mathrm{Ca}_{3}\left(\mathrm{PO}_{4}\right)_{2}$ were used as standards. Table I gives the analysis for the actual crystal used in the data collection for all samples except II. Samples I and II are different crystals from the same preparation. They are similar chemically but were treated as independent cases so that various statistical tests could be made in the course of the investigation.

Crystals of all samples were ground into approximate spheres and mounted in random orientations on goniometer heads. Their quality and alignment were checked optically and by precession photography. The cell
determinations and data collections were performed on an automated 4 -circle diffractometer equipped with Mo radiation and a graphite monochromator. The procedures in (1) were utilized with the following conditions: $2 \theta \leq 80^{\circ}$; scan speed $=1 \% / \mathrm{min}$ in $2 \theta$, and backgrounds were counted for 20 sec . Crystal data and a summary of the results of data collections are given for each crystal in Table II.

Three equivalent members $( \pm h,+k+l)$ of each form were measured on the diffractometer. An equivalent reflection was included in the average if its magnitude and the magnitude of at least one other equivalent agreed within $4\left[\sigma_{c}^{2}(1)+\sigma_{c}^{2}(2)\right]$. The variance $\sigma_{c}^{2}$ is based on counting statistics and a propagation of an assumed $2 \%$ uncertainty in $\mu r$ as an absorption correction was applied (see Table II). The value of $\sigma$ for the mean $\left|F_{0}\right|$ was taken as the larger of $\bar{\sigma}$ and $\left(\bar{\sigma}+s / n^{1 / 2}+r / n^{1 / 2}\right) / 3$. Here $\bar{\sigma}^{2}=1 / \Sigma_{1}^{n}\left(1 / \sigma_{c}^{2}(i)\right), s^{2}$ is the usual variance computed for the deviations from the mean, and $r$ is the range estimate based on a normal distribution and equals 0.89 (range) and 0.59 (range) for two and three equivalents, respectively.

We incorporated the statistical procedures suggested by Abrahams and Keve (7) and other statistical procedures of our own into our program STATUS (8). STATUS was used

TABLE II
Crystal Data and Data Collection Statistics for $\mathrm{Ca}_{3} \cdot \mathrm{X}_{\mathrm{Mg}} \mathrm{X}\left(\mathrm{PO}_{4}\right)_{2}$

| Value of $x$ in formula | $0.00(0)^{a, b}$ | $0.11(\mathrm{I})$ | $0.11(\mathrm{II})$ | $0.29(\mathrm{III})$ |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $a(\AA)$ | $10.439(1)$ | $10.401(1)$ | $10.400(1)$ | $10.337(1)$ |
| $c(\AA)$ | $37.375(6)$ | $37.316(2)$ | $37.315(3)$ | $37.068(4)$ |
| Volume $\left(\AA^{3}\right)$ | 3527.2 | 3495.8 | 3495.3 | 3437.7 |
| $\rho_{\text {calc }}\left(\mathrm{g} \cdot \mathrm{cm}^{-1}\right)$ | 3.07 | 3.08 | 3.08 | 3.10 |
| Reflections measured | 2513 | 7633 | 7616 | 7501 |
| No. of unique reflections with $I \geqslant 2 \sigma$, | 1143 | 2495 | 2461 | 2524 |
| $R$ for equivalent reflections | 0.041 | 0.021 | 0.022 | 0.017 |
| Number of equivalent reflections averaged | 1810 | 6530 | 6431 | 6526 |
| $\mu R$ | 0.18 | 0.37 | 0.35 | 0.42 |
| Max/min transmission factor ${ }^{c}$ | $0.75 / 0.74$ | $0.57 / 0.56$ | $0.61 / 0.60$ | $0.54 / 0.53$ |

[^2]to compare the $\left|F_{0}\right|$ values and associated $\sigma\left(F_{0}\right)$ values of samples I and II. We conclude the $\sigma\left(F_{0}\right)$ values assigned to samples I and II account satisfactorily for random error and such systematic errors as are detectable. Any remaining systematic errors, such as absorption (revealed in the $d^{*}$ plots) or gross differences in secondary extinction or multiple diffraction (revealed in the $\log \left(\left|F_{0}\right|^{2} / \sin 2 \theta\right.$ plots)), are not larger than the uncertainties represented by the assigned $\sigma\left(F_{0}\right)$ values.

The structures were refined using the crystallographic least-squares program RFINE4 (9). The quantity minimized was $\Sigma w\left(\left|F_{0}\right|-\left|F_{c}\right|\right)^{2}$, where $w=\left[\sigma\left(F_{0}\right)\right]^{-2}$. Scattering factors were taken from references (10, 11). ${ }^{1}$ Starting parameters were obtained from $\beta-\mathrm{Ca}_{3}\left(\mathrm{PO}_{4}\right)_{2}(1)$. Cation sites were allowed to be a mixture of Ca and Mg . The total occupancy of each site is 1 except for that of site $\mathrm{M}(4)$, which is $\frac{1}{2}$. Difference syntheses calculated after uneventful refinement to $R_{w}=$ $\left\{w\left(\left|F_{0}\right|-\left|F_{c}\right|\right)^{2} / \Sigma w\left(F_{0}\right)^{2}\right\}^{1 / 2} \sim 0.02$ to 0.04 with anisotropic temperature factors for all atoms and third cumulant parameters for some atoms showed extra peaks corresponding to one-half to two electrons on the threefold axis (at $x=0, y=0$ ). After examination of the crystal structure and consideration of the method of preparation, we considered that a possible interpretation of these extra peaks is that "extra" CaO and/or MgO had been incorporated into the structures and that space for the "extra" atoms had been generated by reflection of about $10 \%$ of the $\mathrm{P}(1) \mathrm{O}_{4}$ groups through the $\mathrm{O}(9), \mathrm{O}\left(9^{\prime}\right), \mathrm{O}\left(9^{\prime \prime}\right)$ triangle. However, during attempts to incorporate these extra peaks into the refinement, the $R_{w}$ indices increased slightly and the reflected $\mathrm{P}(1) \mathrm{O}_{4}$ group became very distorted. Refinements in which the reflected $\mathrm{PO}_{4}$ group

[^3]was constrained to have reasonable geometry led to higher $R_{w}$ values. The extra peaks were therefore ignored in the final refinements. Fortunately, the main items of interest in the structure, the distribution of Ca and Mg over the cation sites, remained invariant during the extra peak refinements, consistently with the fact that the extra peaks comprise a very small part of the structure.

Refinements were also carried out with and without a constraint on the overall Ca content. The results reported here are from refinements in which the total Ca content was constrained to be compatible with the microprobe analyses. Occupancy parameters were constrained to be in the range 0.0 to 1.0 ; those which refined to values ( $\leq 1.007$ ) outside these limits were set to the appropriate limit and fixed. Differences in the atomic parameters from I and II relative to the standard errors assigned in the least-squares refinements were compared with those expected for a normal distribution using our program STATUS. The plot derived from the differences in positional parameters in samples I and II was linear with a slope of 1.28 and an intercept of -0.08 . This suggests that the standard deviation of the positional parameters of each sample should be $15 \%$ larger since samples I and II are chemically similar. In contrast, the plot of differences in anisotropic thermal parameters in I and II had a nominal linear slope of 1.26 but showed some curvature indicative of some systematic differences in cation sites $\mathbf{M}(3)$, $\mathrm{M}(4)$, and $\mathrm{M}(5)$.

## Results

Atomic parameters for the Mg containing samples of $\beta-\mathrm{Ca}_{3}\left(\mathrm{PO}_{4}\right)_{2}$ are given in Table III. A listing of observed and calculated structure amplitudes and third cumulants is available. ${ }^{2}$

[^4]TABLE III
Atomic Parameters $\left(\times 10^{4}\right)$ for $\mathrm{Ca}_{3-\mathrm{k}} \mathrm{Mg}_{\mathrm{x}}\left(\mathrm{PO}_{4}\right)_{2}$

| site ${ }^{\text {a }}$ | $x$ | Y | 2 | $v_{11}^{b}$ | $\mathrm{v}_{22}$ | $\mathrm{U}_{33}$ | $\mathrm{U}_{12}$ | $\mathrm{U}_{13}$ | $\mathrm{U}_{23}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Ca(1) | -2749(1) | -1423(1) | 16GG (1) | E4 (2) | 84 (2) | 93 (2) | 46 (1) | -1(1) | -12(1) |
|  | -2749(1) | -1423(1) | 1664 (1) | 85 (2) | $87(2)$ | 92 (2) | 46 (1) | 0 (1) | -11(1) |
|  | -2730(1) | -1420(1) | 1665 (1) | 75:1) | 76 (1) | 70(1) | 41 (1) | -3(1) | -11(1) |
| Ca (2) | -3833(1) | -1772(1) | - 339(1) | 94 (2) | 76 (2) | 86 (2) | 37 (1) | -16(1) | - 2 (2) |
|  | -3833(1) | -1772(1) | - 340 (1) | 96 (2) | 78 (2) | 86 (2) | $38(1)$ | -19(1) | - 5 (2) |
|  | -3840 (1) | -1769(1) | - 348 (1) | 87(1) | 66 (1) | 75 (1) | 34 (1) | -24(1) | - 5 (1) |
| $\mathrm{Ca}(3)$ | -2737(2) | -1483(1) | 604 (1) | 407(1) | 171(1) | 134 (1) | 210(1) | -98(2) | -76 (2) |
|  | -2733(1) | -1481(1) | 602 (1) | 398 (1) | 173(1) | 135(1) | 206 (1) | -95(2) | -75 (2) |
|  | -2738(1) | -1480(1) | 595(1) | 307 (1) | 149(1) | 140(1) | 174(1) | -103(1) | -79(1) |
| $\mathbf{M ( 4 )}{ }^{\text {c }}$ | 0 | 0 | 804 (1) | 117 (1) | 117 | 186 (1) | 59 | 0 | 0 |
|  | 0 | 0 | 809 (1) | 178 | 178 | 288 (1) | 89 | 0 | 0 |
|  | 0 | 0 | 780 (1) | 127(1) | 127 | 134(1) | 64 | 0 | 0 |
| M(5) ${ }^{\text {c }}$ | 0 | 0 | 2653 (1) | 168 (2) | 168 | 101(3) | 84 | 0 | 0 |
|  | 0 | 0 | 2655 (1) | 147(2) | 147 | 83(3) | 74 | 0 | 0 |
|  | 0 | 0 | 2652 (1) | 42 (2) | 42 | 44 (3) | 21 | 0 | 0 |
| P(1) | 0 | 0 | 0 | 69 (2) | 69 | 199(5) | 35 | 0 | 0 |
|  | 0 | 0 | 0 | 69 (2) | 69 | 201 (5) | 35 | 0 | 0 |
|  | 0 | 0 | 0 | 56 (1) | 56 | 120(3) | 28 | 0 | 0 |
| O(9) | 61 (3) | -1363(3) | - 128(1) | 179 (8) | 104 (8) | 512(14) | 83 (8) | 149(9) | 0 (9) |
|  | 62 (3) | -1364(3) | - 128(1) | 172 (8) | 109 (9) | 521 (15) | 84 (8) | 143 (9) | 3 (9) |
|  | 47 (2) | -1378(2) | - 135 (1) | 145 (5) | 82 (5) | 371 (8) | $68(5)$ | 102 (5) | -3(5) |
| O(10) | 0 | 0 | 407 (2) | 286 (2) | 286 | 200 (20) | 143 | 0 | 0 |
|  | 0 | 0 | 403 (2) | 255 (2) | 255 | 234(19) | 178 | 0 | 0 |
|  | 0 | 0 | 414 (2) | 131 (6) | 131 | 141 (9) | 66 | 0 | 0 |
| P(2) | -3124(1) | -1379(1) | -1312(1) | 104 (2) | 113 (3) | 88 (2) | 74 (2) | 15 (2) | 12 (2) |
|  | -3124(1) | -1378(1) | -1314(1) | 106 (2) | 116 (3) | 87 (4) | 74 (2) | 13 (2) | 12 (2) |
|  | -3133(1) | -1389(1) | -1310(1) | 73 (1) | 75 (2) | $59(1)$ | 46 (1) | $9(1)$ | 8 (1) |
| $O(1)$ | -2673(3) | -863(3) | - 922 (1) | 481 (15) | 378 (23) | 日1 (7) | 319 (13) | 14 (9) | 11 (8) |
|  | -2670(3) | - 861 (3) | - 924(1) | 493 (16) | 382 (13) | 81 (8) | 320 (13) | 20 (8) | 19 (8) |
|  | -2606 (2) | -820(2) | - 924 (1) | 289(7) | 215 (6) | 69 (4) | 162 (6) | -17(4) | - 8(4) |
| O(2) | -2383 (3) | -2240(3) | -1445(1) | 368 (12) | 362 (13) | 150 (8) | 324 (11) | 80 (8) | 64 (8) |
|  | -2376(3) | -2233(3) | -1446(1) | 378(13) | 379 (13) | 151 (9) | 339 (12) | 82 (8) | 66 (9) |
|  | -2430(2) | -2287(2) | -1450(1) | 206 (6) | 201 (6) | 137(5) | 169 (5) | $58(4)$ | 36 (4) |
| O(3) | -2745 (2) | - $38(2)$ | -1525(1) | 107(7) | 94 (7) | 85 (6) | 30 (6) | 10(5) | 8 (6) |
|  | -2744 (2) | - 39(2) | -1527(1) | 104 (7) | 102 (7) | 94 (6) | 35 (6) | $8(5)$ | 9 (5) |
|  | -2766 (1) | - $2(1)$ | -1537(1) | 81 (4) | $71(4)$ | 75 (4) | 25 (3) | 4(3) | 17(3) |
| O(4) | -4817(2) | -2394(2) | -1356(1) | 138 (9) | 75 (7) | 415(13) | $38(7)$ | 19(9) | 14 (8) |
|  | -4816 (2) | -2395(2) | -1358(2) | 138 (9) | 87 (8) | 411(13) | 40(7) | 24 (9) | 18 (8) |
|  | -4857(1) | -2404(1) | -1333(1) | 89(4) | 74 (4) | 150(5) | 34 (4) | 45(4) | 15(4) |
| P(3) | -3457(1) | -1529(1) | -2334(1) | 63 (2) | 70 (2) | $67(2)$ | $30(2)$ | - 4 (2) | 3 (2) |
|  | -3456(1) | -1529(1) | -2336(1) | 64 (2) | 70 (2) | 70 (2) | $29(2)$ | - $2(2)$ | 4 (2) |
|  | -3439(1) | -1514(1) | -2347(1) | 48 (1) | 59(1) | 55 (1) | 24 (1) | 1(1) | $2(1)$ |
| O(5) | -3997(2) | - 468 (2) | -2211(1) | 139 (7) | 184 (8) | 102 (7) | 120(7) | -22(6) | -30(6) |
|  | -3997(2) | - 466 (2) | -2213(1) | 142 (7) | 186 (8) | 103(7) | 121 (7) | -19(6) | -31(6) |
|  | -3964(1) | - 434 (1) | -2222(1) | 107(4) | 153 (5) | 100(4) | 95 (4) | -19(3) | -34(4) |
| O(6) | -4227(2) | -3638(2) | -2146(1) | 207(9) | 106 (8) | 137 (8) | 29 (7) | $32(7)$ | 51 (6) |
|  | -4224(2) | -3038(2) | -2148(1) | 212 (9) | 108 (8) | 140 (8) | $30(7)$ | 28 (7) | 47 (6) |
|  | -4200(1) | -3004 (1) | -2145(1) | 127(5) | $90(4)$ | 114 (5) | 13 (4) | 20(4) | 41 (4) |
| O(7) | -1787(2) | - 786 (2) | -2251(1) | 70 (6) | 97 (7) | 242 (9) | 42 (6) | -39(6) | -30(6) |
|  | -1787(2) | - 789 (2) | -2252(1) | 74 (7) | 97 (7) | 236 (9) | 45 (6) | -44 (6) | -27(7) |
|  | -1741(1) | - 767 (1) | -2279(1) | 48 (4) | 84 (4) | 85 (4) | 33 (3) | - 2 (3) | - 3 (3) |
| O(8) | -3748(3) | -1785(2) | -2736(1) | 255(10) | 201(9) | $69(6)$ | 255(8) | -6(6) | - 9 (6) |
|  | -3747(3) | -1784(2) | -2738(1) | 246(10) | 200 (9) | 70 (6) | 149(8) | - $5(6)$ | - 7 (6) |
|  | -3789(1) | -1821(1) | -2748(6) | 112 (4) | 117(4) | $58(4)$ | 56(4) | -15(3) | -12(3) |

[^5]TABLEIV
Cation-Oxygen Distances (A) in $\mathrm{Ca}_{3-x} \mathrm{Mg}_{x}\left(\mathrm{PO}_{4}\right)_{2}$

| Crystal I.D. atoms | $\mathrm{I}(0.11)^{\boldsymbol{a}}$ | II(0.11) | III(0.29) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\mathrm{Ca}(1)$ |  |  |  |
| 0(6) | $2.315(2)^{\text {b }}$ | 2.315(2) | 2.308(1) |
| $0(5)$ | 2.431 (2) | 2.430 (2) | 2.462(1) |
| $0(8)$ | 2.408 (2) | $2.409(2)$ | 2.375(1) |
| 0(4) | 2.461 (3) | $2.459(2)$ | 2.478(1) |
| 0(9) | 2.458(3) | 2.456(3) | 2.446(2) |
| $0(4)$ | 2.494(2) | 2.494(2) | 2.499(1) |
| 0 (3) | 2.499(2) | 2.499(2) | 2.475(1) |
| 0(2) | 2.897 (3) | 2.904(3) | 2.824(2) |
| $\mathrm{Ca}(2)$ |  |  |  |
| 0 (9) | 2.367(3) | 2.368(3) | 2.363(2) |
| 0(3) | 2.377(2) | 2.375(2) | 2.377(1) |
| $0(7)$ | 2.404(2) | 2.406(2) | 2.413(1) |
| 0 (7)' | 2.434(2) | 2.432(2) | 2.433(1) |
| 0 (2) | 2.452(2) | 2.453(2) | 2.451(1) |
| $0(1)$ | $2.438(3)$ | 2.440(3) | 2.426(2) |
| $0(5)$ | 2.664(2) | 2.663(2) | 2.625(1) |
| 0 (6) | 2.723(2) | 2.721 (2) | 2.681(1) |
| $\mathrm{Ca}(3)$ |  |  |  |
| $0(3)$ | 2.387(2) | 2.388(2) | 2.404(1) |
| 0(5) | 2.393(2) | 2.394(2) | 2.381(1) |
| $0(8)$ | 2.523 (2) | 2.524(2) | 2.480(1) |
| $0(6)$ | 2.593(2) | 2.594(2) | 2.626(2) |
| 0 (2) | 2.530(2) | 2.532(2) | 2.491(2) |
| $0(10)$ | 2.574 (3) | 2.574 (3) | 2.544(2) |
| $0(8)$ | 2.582(2) | 2.580(2) | 2.556(1) |
| $0(1)$ | 2.622(3) | 2.625 (3) | 2.593(2) |
| M(4) |  |  |  |
| $0(1),(1)^{\prime}(1)^{\prime \prime}$ | 2.497(3) | 2.491(3) | 2.444(2) |
| $0(9),(9)^{\prime}(9)^{\prime \prime}$ | 2.907(6) | $2.927(6)$ | 2.796(4) |
| $0(2),(2)^{\prime}(2)^{\prime \prime}$ | 3.394(4) | $3.378(4)$ | 3.482(3) |

${ }^{a}$ Numbers indicate values of $x$.
${ }^{b}$ Estimated standard deviations given in parenthesis.

Table IV lists the cation-anion distances for four cation sites in each of the preparations.

A summary of the geometry of the three phosphate groups for the three preparations is given in Table V . The ranges and means compare well with those reported by Baur in his survey of orthophosphate groups (12). The variation of distances and angles within a given phosphate group was at least as large as the variation for that phosphate group as a function of Mg content. Within their precision, the dimensions of the phosphate groups remained invariant to the extent of Mg substitution except for distances $\mathrm{P}(3)-\mathrm{O}(7)$ and $\mathrm{P}(2)-\mathrm{O}(4)$ which increased by 0.01 (precision of bond distance $\sim 0.002 \AA$ ) as the Mg occupancy in site $\mathrm{M}(5)$ quadrupled.
Several structural parameters which show a correlation with the occupancy of site $\mathrm{M}(5)$ by Mg are given in Table VI. Structural parameters associated with site $\mathrm{M}(4)$ suggest somewhat similar trends although the average occupancy of $M(4)$ is limited to one-half and the effects of the vacancy and the Mg occupancy are confounded. Table II shows that the cell constants $a$ and $c$ decrease with increasing Mg content.

## Discussion

## (a) Comparison of Refined Model with Random Model

The major effects of the inclusion of Mg are associated with cation site M(5) (Fig. 3), the

TABLE V
Summary of the Geometry of the $\mathrm{PO}_{4}$ Groups

| $d(\mathrm{P}-\mathrm{O})(\AA)$ |  | ¢ $\mathrm{O}-\mathrm{P}-\mathrm{O}\left(^{\circ}\right.$ ) |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Range | Mean | Range | Mean |
| $\mathrm{P}(1) \mathrm{O}_{4}$ |  |  |  |
| 1.505(3)-1.533(3) ${ }^{\text {a }}$ | 1.530(7) | 108.2(2)-110.7(2) | 109.4(2) |
| $\mathrm{P}(2) \mathrm{O}_{4}$ |  |  |  |
| 1.527(3)-1.554(3) | $1.539(5)$ | 105.4(2)-115.5(2) | 109.5(2) |
| $\mathrm{P}(3) \mathrm{O}_{4}$ |  |  |  |
| 1.525(3)-1.544(3) | 1.534(5) | 107.4(2)-114.1(2) | 109.5(2) |

[^6]TABLE VI
Occupancy of Mg in M(5) and Associated Structural Parameters

| Crystal I.D. | $O^{a}$ | 1 | 11 | III |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $x^{b}$ | 0.0 | 0.11 | 0.11 | 0.29 |
| $O_{\mathrm{Mg}}$ | 0.0 | $0.190(7)$ | $0.304(7)$ | $1.000(7)$ |
| $R 1^{c}$ | 0.0 | 0.285 | 0.285 | 0.677 |
| $R 2^{d}$ | 0.0 | 0.047 | 0.047 | 0.100 |
| $d(\AA) \mathrm{M}(5)-\mathrm{O}(4)$ | $2.238(2)$ | $2.162(3)$ | $2.163(3)$ | $2.070(1)$ |
| $d(\AA) \mathrm{M}(5)-\mathrm{O}(7)$ | $2.287(2)$ | $2.205(2)$ | $2.206(2)$ | $2.084(1)$ |
| $\Varangle^{\circ} \mathrm{O}(4)-\mathrm{M}(5)-\mathrm{O}(4)$ | $82.7(1)$ | $83.8(1)$ | $83.7(1)$ | $85.4(1)$ |
| $女^{\circ} \mathrm{O}(7)-\mathrm{M}(5)-\mathrm{O}(7)$ | $77.1(1)$ | $78.6(1)$ | $78.6(1)$ | $79.1(1)$ |
| $\Varangle \mathrm{O}(7)-\mathrm{M}(5)-\mathrm{O}(7)$ | $102.0(1)$ | $100.5(1)$ | $100.5(1)$ | $98.4(1)$ |
| $r m s \\| c,(\AA) \mathrm{M}(5)$ | $0.118(2)$ | $0.100(1)$ | $0.091(2)$ | $0.06(2)$ |
| $\mathrm{rms} \perp c(\AA) \mathrm{M}(5)$ | $0.136(2)$ | $0.130(1)$ | $0.121(2)$ | $0.065(2)$ |

${ }^{\text {a }}$ Data from reference ( 1 ).
${ }^{b}$ Values of $x$ in $\mathrm{Ca}_{3-x} \mathrm{Mg}_{x}\left(\mathrm{PO}_{4}\right)_{2}$.
${ }^{c} R 1$ is a model in which Ca and Mg are randomly distributed over sites $\mathrm{M}(4)$ and $\mathrm{M}(5)$; the total occupancy of $M(4)$ is one-half.
${ }^{d} R 2$ is a model in which Ca and Mg are randomly distributed over all five cation sites allowing for the fact that the total occupancy of $\mathrm{M}(4)$ is one-half.
approximately octahedral site located on the threefold axis. Table VI shows how the details change as the Mg content is increased. Random distribution of Mg over all cation sites (model $R 2$ ) is excluded by the results of the structural refinements.

Comparison of the observed occupancies, $O_{\text {Mg }}$, with those of random model $R 1$ shows that although at low total Mg content the Mg distribution is essentially randomized over sites $M(4)$ and $M(5)$, additional Mg preferentially enters (M5). One reason is the short $\mathrm{M}(5) \cdots \mathrm{O}$ distances. A second reason may be that at low total Mg content the contribution of configurational entropy to the free energy is more important than the energy term. Table VI shows that the two cation-oxygen distances $\mathrm{M}(5) \cdots \mathrm{O}(7)$ and $\mathrm{M}(5) \cdots \mathrm{O}(4)$ become shorter and more nearly equal in compound III, which has $\mathrm{M}(5)$ filled with Mg. These distances of $2.084 \AA$ and $2.070 \AA$ agree well with reported (13) $\mathrm{Mg} \cdots \mathrm{O}$ values. The approach of $\mathrm{O} \cdots \mathrm{M}(5) \cdots \mathrm{O}$ angles toward $90^{\circ}$ with increasing Mg content provides further confirmation of the trend toward a more ideal octahedral configuration. The effective rms amplitudes of vibration for M(5)
also become smaller and more nearly equal in compound III, probably reflecting tighter binding and a more symmetrical local environment as $\mathrm{M}(5)$ is progressively filled with more Mg . We found during the course of this investigation that preparations containing more than $\sim 14 \%$ of the cations as Mg contained both Mg -containing $\beta$ - $\mathrm{Ca}_{3}\left(\mathrm{PO}_{4}\right)_{2}$ (sample III) and $\mathrm{Ca}_{7} \mathrm{Mg}_{9}(\mathrm{Ca}, \mathrm{Mg})_{2}\left(\mathrm{PO}_{4}\right)_{14}$ (14). This is in good agreement with Ando's phase diagram (4).

## (b) Possibility of Coupled Substitution

Examination of the behavior of cation to oxygen distances as a function of Mg content provides insight into possible modes of coupled impurity substitution. Focusing on the $\mathrm{P}(2) \mathrm{O}_{4}$ environment (Tables IV, VI), one sees how the average structure changes as the Mg contents of sites $M(4)$ and $M(5)$ increase. Distances $M(5) \cdots O(4)$ and $M(4) \cdots O(1)$ decrease while $\mathrm{Ca}(2) \cdots \mathrm{O}(3), \mathrm{Ca}(2) \cdots \mathrm{O}(2)$, and $\mathrm{Ca}(2) \cdots \mathrm{O}(1)$ remain essentially constant. A small cation such as Mg in $\mathrm{M}(5)$ would shorten the $\mathrm{M}(5) \cdots \mathrm{O}(4)$ distance and turn the $\mathrm{P}(2) \mathrm{O}_{4}$ group. It can be seen from Fig. 1 that if the $\mathrm{P}(2) \mathrm{O}_{4}$ rotates about $\mathrm{P}(2)-\mathrm{O}(3)$, the
$\mathrm{M}(4) \cdots \mathrm{O}(1)$ distance would also shorten. Thus there may be a tendency toward having a small cation in $M(4)$ when one is occupying $\mathrm{M}(5)$. This in turn suggests that other sites related by the $a$ lattice translations would also be preferentially occupied by a small cation because of the action of the other $\mathrm{P}(2) \mathrm{O}_{4}$ groups coordinated to $\mathrm{M}(4)$. This provides a mechanism for local ordering, the extent of which would be terminated where $M(4)$ is unoccupied. Non-electrostatic repulsions between sites $M(4)$ and $M(5)$ are probably not very important because they are separated by more than $5 \AA$. To the extent that the above mechanism is effective in determining the size of adjacent coordination polyhedra, a $\mathrm{Ca} \cdots \mathrm{Mg}$ configuration for nearest neighbor sites $\mathbf{M}(4)$ and $\mathbf{M}(5)$ seems less likely than $\mathrm{Mg} \cdots \mathrm{Mg}, \mathrm{Mg} \cdots \square, \mathrm{Ca} \cdots \mathrm{Ca}$, or $\mathrm{Ca} \cdots \square$ configurations where $\square$ denotes a vacancy.

The peculiar thermal ellipsoids of the $\mathrm{P}(1) \mathrm{O}_{4}$ group are common to all the structures of $\beta$ - $\mathrm{Ca}_{3}\left(\mathrm{PO}_{4}\right)_{2}$ we have refined. It appears that vacancies in site $\mathrm{M}(4)$ allow the $\mathrm{P}(1) \mathrm{O}_{4}$ group on the same 3 -fold axis to be mobile. Vacancies on neighboring 3 -fold axes will reduce the local environment from trigonal symmetry and will allow the $\mathrm{P}(1) \mathrm{O}_{4}$ group to tilt away from the three-fold axis. The thermal ellipsoids indicate that this tilt is toward neighboring $\mathrm{Ca}(3)$ ions.
(c) Structural Basis of the Stabilization of $\beta$ $\mathrm{Ca}_{3}\left(\mathrm{PO}_{4}\right)_{2}$ by Addition of Mg Ion

Since Mg -containing $\beta$ - $\mathrm{Ca}_{3}\left(\mathrm{PO}_{4}\right)_{2}$ is stable at temperatures above the $\beta \rightarrow \alpha$ phase transition temperature of $1180^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$, its free energy must be less than that of pure $\alpha$ or $\beta$ at least up to the $1350^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ reached during the sample preparation.

The crystal energy ( $E$ ) of Mg -containing $\beta$ $\mathrm{Ca}_{3}\left(\mathrm{PO}_{4}\right)_{2}$ contains terms proportional to

[^7]The total of pairs in the first two terms equals the total of $\mathrm{Ca}-\mathrm{O}$ pairs in pure $\beta$ $\mathrm{Ca}_{3}\left(\mathrm{PO}_{4}\right)_{2}$. As regards the last two terms, cation sites are well separated so non-electrostatic repulsion is probably much the same whether the preparation contains Mg or not. Electrostatic repulsion would be similar if Ca and Mg ions have the same charge as is usually considered to be the case, and are at the same separations, which is approximately true. Crystal energy calculations on CaO and MgO which crystallize in the same structural type suggest that $\mathrm{Mg} \cdots \mathrm{O}$ interactions are stronger than $\mathrm{Ca} \cdots \mathrm{O}$ (15). It seems therefore likely that the internal energy for the Mg containing salt is more negative because of increased electrostatic bonding. The net result is expected to be a lowering of internal energy of Mg -containing $\beta$ - $\mathrm{Ca}_{3}\left(\mathrm{PO}_{4}\right)_{2}$ because the $\mathrm{Mg} \cdots \mathrm{O}$ distances are typically less than those of $\mathrm{Ca} \cdots \mathrm{O}$, and the electrostatic energy is proportional to $1 / r$. Addition of Mg also increases the configurational entropy ( $S$ ) because site $\mathrm{M}(4)$ contains $\mathrm{Ca}, \mathrm{Mg}$, or $\square$ and site $\mathrm{M}(5)$ contains Ca or Mg . Since $S$ (impure) $>$ $S$ (pure) and $E$ (impure) $<E$ (pure), substitution into the function $A=E-T S$ shows that $A$ (impure) $<A$ (pure). Hence the impure material is stabilized over the pure.

## (d) Fe as an Additional Impurity

Refinements on two additional samples of Mg -containing $\beta$ - $\mathrm{Ca}_{3}\left(\mathrm{PO}_{4}\right)_{2}$ were discarded from final consideration because the results indicated the presence of an additional impurity. In particular, examination of the distances and angles indicated that the apparent Mg occupancy of $\mathrm{M}(5)$ was too low and that of $M(4)$ was too high. A possible explanation is that $M(5)$ also contains an element of higher atomic number than Ca or Mg but with a radius comparable to Mg ion. Findings (3) on the closely related mineral whitlockite suggest that Fe might be present here also. A rough estimate of the amount of Fe present was made assuming that all Fe is contained in site $M(5)$. At zero scattering
angle the effective scattering is $O_{\mathrm{Mg}} Z_{\mathrm{Mg}}+(1-$ $\left.O_{M g}\right) Z_{\text {Ca }}$ where $O$ is the site occupancy and $Z$ is the atomic number. The refinement estimated this effective scattering to be 13.2, but because $Z_{\mathrm{Mg}}=12$, total occupancy by Mg cannot provide enough scattering. If we now assume that this scattering comes only from Mg and Fe , then we have $O_{\mathrm{Mg}}^{\prime} Z_{\mathrm{Mg}}+(1-$ $\left.O_{\mathrm{Mg}}^{\prime}\right) Z_{\mathrm{Fe}}=13.2$. Substitution of $Z_{\mathrm{Mg}}$ and $Z_{\mathrm{Fe}}$ leads to $O_{\mathrm{Mg}}=0.91$ and $O_{\mathrm{Fe}}^{\prime} \sim 0.09$, which gives a total of $2(0.09)=0.18 \mathrm{Fe}$ atoms per rhombohedral cell (i.e., per 21 cations) or $\sim 0.03 \mathrm{Fe}$ cations per $\beta-\mathrm{Ca}_{3}\left(\mathrm{PO}_{4}\right)_{2}$ unit. Thus about $1 \%$ of the cations are Fe (about $4 \%$ of the 21 cations present in whitlockite are Fe ). This reasoning prompted us to review the sample preparation and analysis. We found these samples, which were made last, were pelletized in a different and lower quality stainless steel die from that used in the preparation of the other samples.

The actual crystals used for collection of the data were then reexamined by microprobe analysis which indicated a Fe content of $\sim 0.02$ wt\%. Regression analysis was used to fit a composition to the weight fractions of $\mathrm{Ca}, \mathrm{Mg}$, P , and Fe obtained from the microprobe analysis. At convergence a composition of $\mathrm{Ca}_{2.63} \mathrm{Mg}_{0.33} \mathrm{Fe}_{0.04}\left(\mathrm{PO}_{4}\right)_{2}$ was obtained which was approximately that deduced from the results of the structural refinement. This result should be considered only semiquantitative since the Fe component was ill determined ( $\pm 0.03$ ). A similar analysis for the second crystal suggested it contained a little more Fe.
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[^1]:    ${ }^{a}$ Numbers in this row and following two rows are the amounts in grams of the reactants. $0.5-1.0 \mathrm{wt} \%$ cornstarch added to aid in formation of pellets.
    ${ }^{b} 4 \mathrm{wt} \%$ cristobalite $\left(\mathrm{SiO}_{2}\right)$ added to act as a flux.
    ${ }^{c}$ Followed by heating at $1100^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 162 hr .
    ${ }^{4}$ Four data points on each crystal, reproducibility $0.1-0.5 \%$, systematic error $\pm 2 \%$ or less of the amount present.
    ${ }^{e}$ Assuming all P present as $\mathrm{PO}_{4}$.
    ${ }^{f}$ Results for crystal II used in data collection were imprecise because of difficulty in preparing a flat surface. Results from another crystal were equal within experimental error to those for crystal I.

[^2]:    " Data from reference ( 1 ).
    ${ }^{n}$ Sample identification given in parentheses is the same as in Table $\mathbf{I}$.
    " Equation (2) as given by K. D. Rouse and M. J. Cooper, Acta Crystallogr. 18, 682-691 (1970) was used to calculate transmission factors.

[^3]:    ${ }^{1}$ During the final stages of the preparation of this paper we noticed that we had inadvertently introduced anomalous dispersion factors early in the refinements although the data had been averaged over Friedel pairs. The anomalous dispersion factors are about $1 \%$ of the scattering for MoK a radiation. Thus, their contribution to the calculated structure factors is not deemed significant for the purpose of this study.

[^4]:    ${ }^{2}$ Supplementary material has been deposited as Document No. 03056 with the National Auxiliary Publication Service, c/o Microfiche Publications, P.O. Box 3513, Grand Central Station, New York, N. Y. 10017. A copy may be secured by citing the document number and by remitting $\$ 9.75$ for photocopy or $\$ 1.50$ for microfiche. Advance payment is required. Make check or money order payable to Microfiche Publications.

[^5]:    *The three lines with each site are paramecera for crystala i, if ( $x=0.11$ ) and III ( $x=0.29$ ).
    ${ }^{b}$ The form of the thermal parameter is expl $\left.-2 \pi^{2}\left(I_{i j} j_{i j} j_{i} h_{j}{ }_{j}{ }^{*}{ }^{0}{ }_{j}{ }^{*}\right)\right]$. Numbers in parenthesis are eatimated standard deviations fram the final cycle of least-squires retinemcant. Normal probability plote suggest these standard deviations should be incroased try 15\%.
    
    of Ca are 0.5 - orcudarugy of Mci. Table $V$ given Mg occuranclea for M(S).

[^6]:    ${ }^{a}$ Numbers in parenthesis are estimated standard deviations.

[^7]:    $\underset{\text { pairs }}{\sum \mathrm{Mg} \cdots \mathrm{O}}+\underset{\text { pairs }}{\sum \mathrm{Ca} \cdots \mathrm{O}}+$

    $$
    \sum \mathrm{Mg} \cdots \mathrm{Ca}+\sum \mathrm{Ca} \cdots \mathrm{Ca}
    $$

    pairs pairs

